



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/666,733	09/20/2000	James A. Creighton	P04589US0	6043
75	90 03/13/2003			
Michael G Voorhees Zarley McKee Thomte Voorhees & Sease Suite 3200			EXAMINER	
			DEXTER, CLARK F	
801 Grand Aver Des Moines, IA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Des Momes, III	30309 2721		3724	
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003	
			$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O})$	
				7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/666,733

Applicant(s)

Creighton et al.

Examiner

Clark F. Dexter

3724

The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a) mailing date of this communication.). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply wit	oply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. use the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 1</u>	1, 2002				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 9-12	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 💢 Claim(s) <u>13</u>	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7, and 8</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) 💢 Claim(s) <u>6</u>	is/are objected to.				
_	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.				
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) 🗆 All b) 🗆 Some* c) 🗀 None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International E *See the attached detailed Office action for a list o					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	·				
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	sub-priority diffact do didicit 13 120 diffact 1211				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendments filed September 3, 2002 and December 11, 2002 have been entered. It is noted that in view of the new amendment practice under 37 CFR 1.121 which became mandatory for all amendments on March 1, 2001, and due to the limited amount of examining time per application, if the amendment contains changes to existing language that requires a marked-up version showing those changes, the Examiner is relying upon the marked-up version(s) for examination of the application. It is applicant's responsibility to ensure that the clean version(s) is (are) the same as the marked-up version(s). It is further noted that the clean version(s) is (are) considered to be the Official version(s).

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 1-8 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- In claim 1, line 5, it seems that --a-- should be inserted before "guide" or then like for clarity.

In claim 13, line 4, it seems that --a-- should be inserted before "linkage" or the like for clarity.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/666,733 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Werner, pn 5,150,641.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3724

6. Claims 3-5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Werner, pn 5,150,641.

Regarding claim 3, Werner lacks an explicit disclosure of an elongate base link.

However, the Examiner takes Official notice that it is old and well known in the art to use links or beams to provide additional sturdiness to a framework. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a link or beam extending between points 5a and 5a to further stabilize the structure of Werner if necessary or otherwise desired.

Regarding claim 5, Werner discloses a power means, but lacks the specific type of power means, namely an extensible fluid cylinder. However, the Examiner takes Official notice that such fluid cylinders are old and well known in the art and provide various known benefits including providing an economical and reliable power source. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide an extensible fluid cylinder as the power means for Werner for the well known benefits including that described above.

Regarding claim 8, Werner lacks the claimed guide structure including a guide track and a track follower. However, the Examiner takes Official notice that such guide structure is old and well known in the art to facilitate maintaining a cutting apparatus on a desired path. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a guide track and a track follower on the apparatus of Werner for the well known benefits including that described above.

Art Unit: 3724

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claim 13 is allowable over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404. The examiner's typical work schedule is Tuesday through Friday, and he can be reached during normal business hours on these days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Allan Shoap, can be reached at (703)308-1082.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers for this group are: formal papers - (703)305-3590; informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3724

cfd

March 10, 2003